

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

David Boies (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Alexander Boies (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 333 Main Street
 Armonk, NY 10504
 Tel.: (914) 749-8200
 dboies@bsfllp.com

Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165
 Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027
 44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Tel.: (415) 293-6800
 mmao@bsfllp.com
 brichardson@bsfllp.com

James Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor
 Miami, FL 33131
 Tel.: (305) 539-8400
 jlee@bsfllp.com

Alison L. Anderson, CA Bar No. 275334
 Samantha Parris, CA Bar No. 318681
 M. Logan Wright, CA Bar No. 349004
 2029 Century Park East, Suite 1520
 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 Tel.: (213) 995-5720
 alanderson@bsfllp.com
 sparrish@bsfllp.com
 mwright@bsfllp.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, SAL CATALDO,
 JULIAN SANTIAGO, and SUSAN LYNN
 HARVEY, individually and on behalf of all
 others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,
 Defendant.

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

Bill Carmody (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Shawn J. Rabin (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Steven M. Shepard (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Alexander P. Frawley (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Ryan Sila (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 One Manhattan West, 50th Floor
 New York, NY 10001
 Tel.: (212) 336-8330
 bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
 srabin@susmangodfrey.com
 sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
 afrawley@susmangodfrey.com
 rsila@susmangodfrey.com

Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891
 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 Tel.: (310) 789-3100
 abonnn@susmangodfrey.com

MORGAN & MORGAN

John A. Yanchunis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Ryan J. McGee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805
 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
 Tampa, FL 33602
 Tel.: (813) 223-5505
 jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
 rmcgee@forthepeople.com
 mram@forthepeople.com

Case No. 3:20-cv-04688-RS

**PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO
 GOOGLE'S MOTION IN LIMINE 18**

Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg
 Trial Date: August 18, 2025
 Courtroom: 3, 17th Floor

1 Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court deny Google's motion *in limine* 18 (Dkt. 634).

2 This is the third time Google seeks to prevent Plaintiffs from using PX-6.

3 The first time was Google's motion *in limine* 5 (Dkt. 523), where, after full briefing, the Court
4 denied Google's motion to exclude PX-6 (Dkt. 587 at 14:15).

5 The second time was when Google objected to prior to Plaintiffs' opening, where the Court
6 rejected Google's objection (Trial Tr. 193:1-20):

7 MR. ATTANASIO: Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6 is a document internal to Google
8 that reflects a number of conversations within Google, somewhat informal,
9 in which Google personnel, all of whom in terms of these slides are not
witnesses –

10 THE COURT: Why isn't this an admission?

11 MR. ATTANASIO: Because the people talking generally -- not about WAA
12 and sWAA -- but generally about privacy are not authorized speakers within
13 Google for purposes of being an admission at that time. But I understand if
the Court –

14 THE COURT: This is the -- this is the Google vice president of product
15 management?

16 MR. ATTANASIO: Speaking to another person, which then goes into a
17 third document.

18 THE COURT: For your guidance in going forward, I do view that as an
admission.

19 The Court's prior rulings were correct, and it would be highly prejudicial to now exclude PX-6.

20 Mr. Heft-Luthy (who is scheduled to testify sometime Monday) was a member of the team that
21 prepared PX-6 (PX-6 at 2). From August 2016 through January 2022, Mr. Heft-Luthy was Product
22 Manager in Google's Privacy and Data Protection Office, or PDPO. Heft-Luthy Depo. Tr. at 12:3-8,
23 12:25-13:6. The PDPO was the "central privacy team at Google responsible for coordinating various
24 cross-company privacy initiatives." *Id.* at 13:12-14:1. Mr. Heft-Luthy was a Product Manager whose
25 LinkedIn profile describes how during his time at Google he "[c]oordinated development of privacy
26 products across the company, ensuring that Google products work in a way that users can understand and
27 control." PX-471 at 1.

One of the projects for which Mr. Heft-Luthy was the product manager was the “PrivacyNative” project. Heft-Luthy Depo. Tr. at 113:16-21. PX-6 is one of a series of documents (including PX-18 and PX-309) that report on that project. Google’s PrivacyNative project was intended “to identify key privacy challenges that Google would face in the coming years and opportunities to address them.” *Id.* at 113:10-15. PX-6 reports on views expressed by Google executives.

Mr. Heft Luthy testified at his deposition that PX-6 (Deposition Ex. 354) includes “notes from conversations that the PrivacyNative team had with stakeholders across Google.” Heft-Luthy Depo. Tr. at 120:21-121:10. He explained that these “conversations were meant to gather information about Google’s privacy, the space that Google operates in with respect to privacy, and inform the next steps of the program.” *Id.* at 121:11-17. He also testified that the notes were used by the PrivacyNative team “to inform future activity.” *Id.* at 122:5-12. Along with the other documents (e.g., PX-18, PX-309), that testimony provides a foundation for the admission of PX-6.

Google asserts: “The hearsay is two fold.” Mot. at 3:8-10. This is wrong. The first level (the reporting of what people said) is itself an admission -- it is “a statement made by made by the party’s agent ... on a matter within the scope of that relationship.” Moreover, Mr. Heft-Luthy participated in the preparation of PX-6 is available to be cross-examined. (It is also a business record.)

The second level (the statements by the Google executives who were interviews) are clearly admissions. Contrary to Google’s assertion, they are not unnamed (Mot. at 1:24); they are Google agents speaking within the scope of their relationship “to gather information ... inform the next steps ... to inform future activity” (Heft-Luthy Depo. Tr. at 121:11-122:12).

The quotes from PX-6 in Plaintiffs’ opening were statements by three Google executives recorded in PX-6.

- Rahul Roy-Chowdhury: Mr. Roy-Chowdhury at that time was a Google Vice President of Product Management. *See* PX-6 at 62 (“rahulrc@ Stakeholder interview 2020-0805” and identifying Mr. Heft-Luthy as part of the “PN team” for that interview). According to PX-6, Mr. Roy-Chowdhury stated: “We have gaps in how our system works and what we promise to people” and “We are not taking our responsibility as a steward of user data seriously.” PX-6 at 63.

- 1 • Micah Laaker: Mr. Laaker at that time was a Google Director, UX in the Office of the CEO.
2 See PX-6 at 57 (“micahlaaker@ Stakeholder interview 2020-08-05”). According to PX-6, Mr.
3 Laaker stated: “I don’t have the faintest idea of what Google has on me” and “If I can’t see it
4 I don’t know what I should be worried about.” PX-6 at 59.
- 5 • Othar Hansson: Mr. Hansson was at that time a Principal Engineer, Google Core Team. See
6 PX-6 at 47 (“othar@ interview 2020-08-11”). Mr. Hansson described his role as “running the
7 product team” which included the “PMs in PDPO.” PX-6 at 47. According to PX-6, Mr.
8 Hansson stated: “At Google we still seem to believe in that fantasy that users agreed to this.”
9 PX-6 at 49.

10 All people interviewed were speaking as Google agents. PX-6 at 1. The notes include statements
11 regarding their roles at Google, including their privacy-related responsibilities; their statements were used
12 to “inform future activity” (Heft-Luthy Depo. Tr. at 122:5-12).

13 Google’s suggestion that these interviews involved privacy concerns that were somehow *broad*
14 than WAA does not make any portion of PX-6 inadmissible. First, WAA was a key focus of the
15 PrivacyNative project. See, e.g., PX-18 at 1-2 (WAA “vague and hard-to-parse for non-engineers /
16 lawyers”); PX-39 at 2 (“WAA is so broad” that “people can’t get their heads around what it collects /
17 uses”); PX-309 (with WAA, Google is “out-of-step with user expectations”).¹ Second, there can be no
18 dispute that Google’s overall approach to privacy include, and is relevant to, the specific WAA/sWAA
19 issues. As the Court held in denying Google’s MIL #5, evening concerning Google’s “general privacy
20 practices ... is relevant and not sufficiently prejudicial to exclude under Rule 403. See PX 6” Dkt.
21 587 at 14:13-15. Third, Plaintiffs seek to prove not only that Google intentionally invaded their privacy
22 but also the offensiveness of Google’s conduct and an entitlement to punitive damages. Admissions
23

24
25 ¹ If the Court wishes, Plaintiffs can provide additional examples further demonstrating this link between
26 PrivacyNative and WAA, with Google employees admitting to problems with WAA. E.g., GOOG-
27 RDGZ-00203637 (PrivacyNative document describing “WAA” as a “switch” that is “basically
28 impossible to explain”); GOOG-RDGZ-00203603 (PrivacyNative document noting possibility of
“breaking apart WAA”); GOOG-RDGZ-00203643 (PrivacyNative document describing Google’s
“monolithic consent approach” being the “root cause” of Google’s “systemic privacy challenges”).

1 regarding Google's knowledge of its broken data collection and consent systems (which Google never
2 fixed) are highly relevant in proving these claims.

3
4 Dated: August 24, 2025

Respectfully Submitted,

5 By: /s/ Mark C. Mao

6 **BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP**

David Boies (admitted *pro hac vice*)

333 Main Street

Armonk, NY 10504

Tel.: (914) 749-8200

dboies@bsfllp.com

Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165

Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027

44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

Tel.: (415) 293-6800

mmao@bsfllp.com

brichardson@bsfllp.com

James Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)

100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor

Miami, FL 33131

Tel.: (305) 539-8400

jlee@bsfllp.com

Alison L. Anderson, CA Bar No. 275334

Samantha Parrish, CA Bar No. 318681

M. Logan Wright, CA Bar No. 349004

2029 Century Park East, Suite 1520

Los Angeles, CA 90067

Tel.: (213) 995-5720

alanderson@bsfllp.com

sparrish@bsfllp.com

mwright@bsfllp.com

23 **SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.**

Bill Carmody (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Shawn J. Rabin (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Steven M. Shepard (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Alexander P. Frawley (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Ryan Sila (admitted *pro hac vice*)

One Manhattan West, 50th Floor

New York, NY 10001

Tel.: (212) 336-8330

bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com

1 srabin@susmangodfrey.com
2 sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
3 afrawley@susmangodfrey.com
4 rsila@susmangodfrey.com

5 Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891
6 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
7 Los Angeles, CA 90067
8 Tel.: (310) 789-3100
9 abonn@susmangodfrey.com

10 **MORGAN & MORGAN**

11 John A. Yanchunis (admitted *pro hac vice*)
12 Ryan J. McGee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
13 Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805
14 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
15 Tampa, FL 33602
16 Tel.: (813) 223-5505
17 jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
18 rmcgee@forthepeople.com
19 mram@forthepeople.com

20 *Counsel for Plaintiffs*